



ESI热点论文简报

第 III 期

中国科学院高能物理研究所
文献信息部

2021-05

基于 ESI 数据库分领域热点论文简报

ESI 是基于汤森路透 Web of Science (SCIE/SSCI) 所收录的全球 12000 多种学术期刊的 1200 多万条文献记录而建立的计量分析数据库。ESI 针对 22 个专业领域，通过论文数、论文被引频次、论文篇均被引频次、高影响论文（高被引论文和热点论文排重后的简单和）指标，成为当今世界范围内普遍用以评价高校、学术机构、国家/地区国际学术水平及影响力的重要评价指标工具之一。该数据库基于 10 年内文献数据进行综合分析评价，每两月更新一次。

热点论文：ESI 数据库统计筛选出在过去两年内发表，且在近两月内，被引用的次数进入其学术领域前 0.1% 的论文。

细分领域：根据 WoS 数据库的领域划分选取了高能所发文比较集中的四个细分领域，“Physics, Particles & Fields”、“Astronomy & Astrophysics”、“Physics, Nuclear”和“Materials Science, Multidisciplinary”。(该领域热点论文比较多，按照论文被引次数选取 Top 50)。

目录

“Physics, Particles & Fields”热点论文 34 篇	2
“Physics, Nuclear”热点论文 6 篇	5
“Astronomy & Astrophysics”热点论文 45 篇	6
“Materials Science, Multidisciplinary”热点论文 Top 50 篇	10



本次简报基于 ESI 于 2021 年 5 月 13 日更新的数据，热点论文统计范围为 2019 年 3 月 -2021 年 2 月发表的论文，且在 2021 年 1-2 月被引用次数进入物理领域前 0.1% 的论文。

②、③为对比 2021 年第一、二期数据的重复次数

“Physics, Particles & Fields”热点论文 34 篇

1. ②REVIEW OF PARTICLE PHYSICS, P. A. Zyla, R. M. Barnett, J. Beringer et al., Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 2020 (2020) 083C01. Cited: 321.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptaa104>
2. ③Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics: a roadmap, L. Barack, V. Cardoso, S. Nissanke et al., Classical and Quantum Gravity, 36 (2019) 143001. Cited: 156.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab0587>
3. ③Pentaquark and Tetraquark States, Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen et al., Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, 107 (2019) 237. Cited: 149.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003>
4. ③FLAG Review 2019, S. Aoki, Y. Aoki, D. Becirevic et al., European Physical Journal C, 80 (2020) 113. Cited: 135. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7354-7>
5. ②FCC Physics Opportunities: Future Circular Collider Conceptual Design Report Volume 1, A. Abada, M. Abbrescia, S. S. AbdusSalam et al., European Physical Journal C, 79 (2019) 474. Cited: 131. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6904-3>
6. ③Tests of general relativity with the binary black hole signals from the LIGO-Virgo catalog GWTC-1, B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott et al., Physical Review D, 100 (2019) 104036. Cited: 131. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.104036>
7. ③The construction and use of LISA sensitivity curves, T. Robson, N. J. Cornish, and C. Liug, Classical and Quantum Gravity, 36 (2019) 105011. Cited: 130. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab1101>
8. ③Bounds on slow roll and the de Sitter Swampland, S. K. Garg and C. Krishnan, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2019) 75. Cited: 114. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11\(2019\)075](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP11(2019)075)
9. Chiral crossover in QCD at zero and non-zero chemical potentials HotQCD Collaboration, A. Bazavov, H. T. Ding, R. Hegde et al., Physics Letters B, 795 (2019) 15. Cited: 103.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.013>

10. **③**Replica wormholes and the entropy of Hawking radiation, A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena et al., Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 13. Cited: 99.
[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05\(2020\)013](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP05(2020)013)
11. **②**Hubble constant hunter's guide, L. Knox and M. Millea, Physical Review D, 101 (2020) 43533. Cited: 96. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.043533>
12. **③**The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry, A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena et al., Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 149. Cited: 91.
[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2020\)149](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2020)149)
13. Detecting gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions with LISA: an update, C. Caprini, M. Chala, G. C. Dorsch et al., Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, (2020) 24. Cited: 82. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/024>
14. **③**GW190412: Observation of a binary-black-hole coalescence with asymmetric masses, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, S. Abraham et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 43015. Cited: 78.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.043015>
15. **③**Entanglement wedge reconstruction and the information paradox, G. Penington, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 2. Cited: 70. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2020\)002](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)002)
16. **②**Excess electronic recoil events in XENON1T, E. Aprile, J. Aalbers, F. Agostini et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 72004. Cited: 61.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.072004>
17. The fate of hints: updated global analysis of three-flavor neutrino oscillations, I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni et al., Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 178. Cited: 46.
[https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2020\)178](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)178)
18. The cosmological analysis of the SDSS/BOSS data from the Effective Field Theory of Large-Scale Structure, G. d'Amico, J. Gleyzes, N. Kokron et al., Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, (2020) 5. Cited: 42. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/05/005>
19. Threshold for primordial black holes: Dependence on the shape of the cosmological perturbations, I. Musco, Physical Review D, 100 (2019) 123524. Cited: 39.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.123524>
20. New physics in light of the H-0 tension: An alternative view, S. Vagnozzi, Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 23518. Cited: 36. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.023518>

21. ②Transcending the ensemble: baby universes, spacetime wormholes, and the order and disorder of black hole information, D. Marolf and H. Maxfield, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 44. Cited: 36. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08\(2020\)044](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP08(2020)044)
22. Derivation of regularized field equations for the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions, P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 24025. Cited: 35. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024025>
23. Massive islands, H. Geng and A. Karch, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2020) 121. Cited: 23. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09\(2020\)121](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)121)
24. ②Constraining early dark energy with large-scale structure, M. M. Ivanov, E. McDonough, J. C. Hill et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 103502. Cited: 17. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.103502>
25. ②Dark matter and the XENON1T electron recoil excess, K. Kannike, M. Raidal, H. Veermae et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 95002. Cited: 14. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.095002>
26. New CTEQ global analysis of quantum chromodynamics with high-precision data from the LHC, T. J. Hou, J. Gao, T. J. Hobbs et al., Physical Review D, 103 (2021) 14013. Cited: 12. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014013>
27. Light new physics in XENON1T, C. Boehm, D. G. Cerdeno, M. Fairbairn et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 115013. Cited: 10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.115013>
28. Geometric secret sharing in a model of Hawking radiation, V. Balasubramanian, A. Kar, O. Parrikar et al., Journal of High Energy Physics, (2021) 177. Cited: 10. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2021\)177](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)177)
29. XENON1T anomaly and its implication for decaying warm dark matter, G. J. Choi, M. Suzuki, and T. T. Yanagida, Physics Letters B, 811 (2020) 135976. Cited: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135976>
30. New sensitivity curves for gravitational-wave signals from cosmological phase transitions, K. Schmitz, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2021) 97. Cited: 9. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2021\)097](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)097)

31. Solar-mass primordial black holes explain NANOGrav hint of gravitational waves, K. Kohri and T. Terada, Physics Letters B, 813 (2021) 136040. Cited: 7.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.136040>
32. Confronting lattice parton distributions with global QCD analysis, J. Bringewatt, N. Sato, W. Melnitchouk et al., Physical Review D, 103 (2021) 16003. Cited: 5.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.016003>
33. Exothermic dark matter for XENON1T excess, H. M. Lee, Journal of High Energy Physics, (2021) 19. Cited: 5. [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01\(2021\)019](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2021)019)
34. On-shell mediator dark matter models and the Xenon1T excess, M. X. Du, J. H. Liang, Z. W. Liu et al., Chinese Physics C, 45 (2021) 13114. Cited: 4. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abc244>

“Physics, Nuclear”热点论文 6 篇

1. Phy-X / PSD: Development of a user friendly online software for calculation of parameters relevant to radiation shielding and dosimetry, E. Sakar, O. F. Ozpolat, B. Alim et al., Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 166 (2020) 108496. Cited: 171.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2019.108496>
2. Pentaquark and Tetraquark States, Y. R. Liu, H. X. Chen, W. Chen et al., Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics, 107 (2019) 237. Cited: 149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppnp.2019.04.003>
3. ③Chiral crossover in QCD at zero and non-zero chemical potentials HotQCD Collaboration, A. Bazavov, H. T. Ding, R. Hegde et al., Physics Letters B, 795 (2019) 15. Cited: 103.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.013>
4. ③XENON1T anomaly and its implication for decaying warm dark matter, G. J. Choi, M. Suzuki, and T. T. Yanagida, Physics Letters B, 811 (2020) 135976. Cited: 9.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135976>
5. Solar-mass primordial black holes explain NANOGrav hint of gravitational waves, K. Kohri and T. Terada, Physics Letters B, 813 (2021) 136040. Cited: 7.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.136040>

6. On-shell mediator dark matter models and the Xenon1T excess, M. X. Du, J. H. Liang, Z. W. Liu et al., Chinese Physics C, 45 (2021) 13114. Cited: 4. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abc244>

“Astronomy & Astrophysics”热点论文 45 篇

1. ③Planck 2018 results: VI. Cosmological parameters, N. Aghanim, Y. Akrami, M. Ashdown et al., Astronomy & Astrophysics, 641 (2020) A6. Cited: 817. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>
2. ③Large MagellanicCloud Cepheid Standards Provide a 1% Foundation for the Determination of the Hubble Constant and Stronger Evidence for Physics beyond Lambda CDM, A. G. Riess, S. Casertano, W. L. Yuan et al., Astrophysical Journal, 876 (2019) 85. Cited: 533. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab1422>
3. ③Relativistic Shapiro delay measurements of an extremely massive millisecond pulsar, H. T. Cromartie, E. Fonseca, S. M. Ransom et al., Nature Astronomy, 4 (2020) 72. Cited: 321. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0880-2>
4. ③GW190425: Observation of a Compact Binary Coalescence with Total Mass similar to 3.4 M-circle dot, B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott et al., Astrophysical Journal Letters, 892 (2020) L3. Cited: 295. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab75f5>
5. ③LSST: From Science Drivers to Reference Design and Anticipated Data Products, Z. Ivezic, S. M. Kahn, J. A. Tyson et al., Astrophysical Journal, 873 (2019) 111. Cited: 275. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab042c>
6. ③Binary Black Hole Population Properties Inferred from the First and Second Observing Runs of Advanced LIGO and Advanced Virgo, B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott et al., Astrophysical Journal Letters, 882 (2019) L24. Cited: 195. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab3800>
7. ③GW190814: Gravitational Waves from the Coalescence of a 23 Solar Mass Black Hole with a 2.6 Solar Mass Compact Object, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, S. Abraham et al., Astrophysical Journal Letters, 896 (2020) L44. Cited: 193. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab960f>

8. **3** PSR J0030+0451 Mass and Radius from NICER Data and Implications for the Properties of Neutron Star Matter, M. C. Miller, F. K. Lamb, A. J. Dittmann et al., *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 887 (2019) L24. Cited: 191. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab50c5>
9. **3** Planck 2018 results: X. Constraints on inflation, Y. Akrami, F. Arroja, M. Ashdown et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641 (2020) A10. Cited: 183. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833887>
10. **3** Modules for Experiments in Stellar Astrophysics (MESA): Pulsating Variable Stars, Rotation, Convective Boundaries, and Energy Conservation, B. Paxton, R. Smolec, J. Schwab et al., *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 243 (2019) 10. Cited: 174. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab2241>
11. **3** A NICER View of PSR J0030+0451: Millisecond Pulsar Parameter Estimation, T. E. Riley, A. L. Watts, S. Bogdanov et al., *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 887 (2019) L21. Cited: 169. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab481c>
12. **2** Fermi Large Area Telescope Fourth Source Catalog, S. Abdollahi, F. Acero, M. Ackermann et al., *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 247 (2020) 33. Cited: 168. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab6bcb>
13. **3** Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics: a roadmap, L. Barack, V. Cardoso, S. Nissanke et al., *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 36 (2019) 143001. Cited: 156. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab0587>
14. **2** Fast radio bursts, E. Petroff, J. W. T. Hessels, and D. R. Lorimer, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Review*, 27 (2019) 4. Cited: 139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-019-0116-6>
15. **3** Tests of general relativity with the binary black hole signals from the LIGO-Virgo catalog GWTC-1, B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott et al., *Physical Review D*, 100 (2019) 104036. Cited: 131. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.104036>
16. Cosmology from cosmic shear power spectra with Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam first-year data, C. Hikage, M. Oguri, T. Hamana et al., *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, 71 (2019) 43. Cited: 130. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pasj/psz010>
17. **3** The construction and use of LISA sensitivity curves, T. Robson, N. J. Cornish, and C. Liug, *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 36 (2019) 105011. Cited: 130. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/ab1101>

18. ③The 16th Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Surveys: First Release from the APOGEE-2 Southern Survey and Full Release of eBOSS Spectra, R. Ahumada, C. Allende Prieto, A. Almeida et al., *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 249 (2020) 3. Cited: 118. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4365/ab929e>
19. ②SIMBA: Cosmological simulations with black hole growth and feedback, R. Dave, D. Angles-Alcazar, D. Narayanan et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 486 (2019) 2827. Cited: 113. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz937>
20. Evidence for two early accretion events that built the Milky Way stellar halo, G. C. Myeong, E. Vasiliev, G. Iorio et al., *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 488 (2019) 1235. Cited: 108. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1770>
21. Chiral crossover in QCD at zero and non-zero chemical potentials HotQCD Collaboration, A. Bazavov, H. T. Ding, R. Hegde et al., *Physics Letters B*, 795 (2019) 15. Cited: 103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.013>
22. ②Planck evidence for a closed Universe and a possible crisis for cosmology, E. Di Valentino, A. Melchiorri, and J. Silk, *Nature Astronomy*, 4 (2020) 196. Cited: 98. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-019-0906-9>
23. ②Hubble constant hunter's guide, L. Knox and M. Millea, *Physical Review D*, 101 (2020) 43533. Cited: 96. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101.043533>
24. Detecting gravitational waves from cosmological phase transitions with LISA: an update, C. Caprini, M. Chala, G. C. Dorsch et al., *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, (2020) 24. Cited: 82. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/03/024>
25. ②KiDS+VIKING-450: Cosmic shear tomography with optical and infrared data, H. Hildebrandt, F. Kohlinger, J. L. van den Busch et al., *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 633 (2020) A69. Cited: 81. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201834878>
26. ③GW190412: Observation of a binary-black-hole coalescence with asymmetric masses, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, S. Abraham et al., *Physical Review D*, 102 (2020) 43015. Cited: 78. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.043015>
27. Properties and Astrophysical Implications of the 150 M Binary Black Hole Merger GW190521, B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott et al., *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 900 (2020) L13. Cited: 66. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba493>

28. ②Excess electronic recoil events in XENON1T, E. Aprile, J. Aalbers, F. Agostini et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 72004. Cited: 61.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.072004>
29. ③INTEGRAL Discovery of a Burst with Associated Radio Emission from the Magnetar SGR 1935+2154, S. Mereghetti, V. Savchenko, C. Ferrigno et al., Astrophysical Journal Letters, 898 (2020) L29. Cited: 55. <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aba2cf>
30. The cosmological analysis of the SDSS/BOSS data from the Effective Field Theory of Large-Scale Structure, G. d'Amico, J. Gleyzes, N. Kokron et al., Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics, (2020) 5. Cited: 42. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2020/05/005>
31. Threshold for primordial black holes: Dependence on the shape of the cosmological perturbations, I. Musco, Physical Review D, 100 (2019) 123524. Cited: 39.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.123524>
32. New physics in light of the H-0 tension: An alternative view, S. Vagnozzi, Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 23518. Cited: 36. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.023518>
33. Derivation of regularized field equations for the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory in four dimensions, P. G. S. Fernandes, P. Carrilho, T. Clifton et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 24025. Cited: 35. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.024025>
34. ②Poniua'ena: A Luminous z=7.5 Quasar Hosting a 1.5 Billion Solar Mass Black Hole, J. Y. Yang, F. G. Wang, X. H. Fan et al., Astrophysical Journal Letters, 897 (2020) L14. Cited: 28.
<https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab9c26>
35. ②Constraining early dark energy with large-scale structure, M. M. Ivanov, E. McDonough, J. C. Hill et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 103502. Cited: 17.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.103502>
36. ②Dark matter and the XENON1T electron recoil excess, K. Kannike, M. Raidal, H. Veermäe et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 95002. Cited: 14.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.095002>
37. New CTEQ global analysis of quantum chromodynamics with high-precision data from the LHC, T. J. Hou, J. Gao, T. J. Hobbs et al., Physical Review D, 103 (2021) 14013. Cited: 12.
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.014013>

38. Light new physics in XENON1T, C. Boehm, D. G. Cerdeno, M. Fairbairn et al., Physical Review D, 102 (2020) 115013. Cited: 10. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.115013>
39. Cosmic conundra explained by thermal history and primordial black holes, B. Carr, S. Clesse, J. Garcia-Bellido et al., Physics of the Dark Universe, 31 (2021) 100755. Cited: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2020.100755>
40. KiDS-1000 cosmology: Cosmic shear constraints and comparison between two point statistics, M. Asgari, C. A. Lin, B. Joachimi et al., Astronomy & Astrophysics, 645 (2021) A104. Cited: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039070>
41. XENON1T anomaly and its implication for decaying warm dark matter, G. J. Choi, M. Suzuki, and T. T. Yanagida, Physics Letters B, 811 (2020) 135976. Cited: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.135976>
42. The current weather and climate of Mars: 12 years of atmospheric monitoring by the Planetary Fourier Spectrometer on Mars Express, M. Giuranna, P. Wolkenberg, D. Grassi et al., Icarus, 353 (2021) 113406. Cited: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2019.113406>
43. Solar-mass primordial black holes explain NANOGrav hint of gravitational waves, K. Kohri and T. Terada, Physics Letters B, 813 (2021) 136040. Cited: 7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2020.136040>
44. The halo model as a versatile tool to predict intrinsic alignments, M. C. Fortuna, H. Hoekstra, B. Joachimi et al., Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 501 (2021) 2983. Cited: 7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3802>
45. Confronting lattice parton distributions with global QCD analysis, J. Bringewatt, N. Sato, W. Melnitchouk et al., Physical Review D, 103 (2021) 16003. Cited: 5. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.103.016003>

“Materials Science, Multidisciplinary”热点论文 Top 50 篇

1. ③ Phonon coherences reveal the polaronic character of excitons in two-dimensional lead halide perovskites, F. Thouin, D. A. Valverde-Chavez, C. Quarti et al., Nature Materials, 18 (2019) 349. Cited: 1696. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-018-0262-7>

2. **③** Single-Junction Organic Solar Cell with over 15% Efficiency Using Fused-Ring Acceptor with Electron-Deficient Core, J. Yuan, Y. Q. Zhang, L. Y. Zhou et al., Joule, 3 (2019) 1140. Cited: 1574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.01.004>
3. **③** Pathways for practical high-energy long-cycling lithium metal batteries, J. Liu, Z. N. Bao, Y. Cui et al., Nature Energy, 4 (2019) 180. Cited: 594. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0338-x>
4. **③** Methylammonium Chloride Induces Intermediate Phase Stabilization for Efficient Perovskite Solar Cells, M. Kim, G. H. Kim, T. K. Lee et al., Joule, 3 (2019) 2179. Cited: 399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.06.014>
5. **③** Solar cell efficiency tables (version 54), M. A. Green, E. D. Dunlop, D. H. Levi et al., Progress in Photovoltaics, 27 (2019) 565. Cited: 382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3171>
6. **③** Present and Future of Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering, J. Langer, D. J. de Aberasturi, J. Aizpurua et al., Acs Nano, 14 (2020) 28. Cited: 382. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b04224>
7. **②** Single-Junction Polymer Solar Cells with 16.35% Efficiency Enabled by a Platinum(II) Complexation Strategy, X. P. Xu, K. Feng, Z. Z. Bi et al., Advanced Materials, 31 (2019) 1901872. Cited: 381. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201901872>
8. **③** Diagnosing COVID-19: The Disease and Tools for Detection, B. Udugama, P. Kadhireasan, H. N. Kozlowski et al., Acs Nano, 14 (2020) 3822. Cited: 365. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c02624>
9. **③** High electronic conductivity as the origin of lithium dendrite formation within solid electrolytes, F. D. Han, A. S. Westover, J. Yue et al., Nature Energy, 4 (2019) 187. Cited: 354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-018-0312-z>
10. **③** Nanoarchitectonics for Transition-Metal-Sulfide-Based Electrocatalysts for Water Splitting, Y. N. Guo, T. Park, J. W. Yi et al., Advanced Materials, 31 (2019) 1807134. Cited: 351. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807134>
11. **③** High-entropy alloys, E. P. George, D. Raabe, and R. O. Ritchie, Nature Reviews Materials, 4 (2019) 515. Cited: 348. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41578-019-0121-4>
12. **③** Single-Junction Organic Photovoltaic Cells with Approaching 18% Efficiency, Y. Cui, H. F. Yao, J. Q. Zhang et al., Advanced Materials, 32 (2020) 1908205. Cited: 336. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201908205>

13. ③ Advances in magnetoelectric multiferroics, N. A. Spaldin and R. Ramesh, *Nature Materials*, 18 (2019) 203. Cited: 329. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-018-0275-2>
14. ③ A Review of Perovskites Solar Cell Stability, R. Wang, M. Mujahid, Y. Duan et al., *Advanced Functional Materials*, 29 (2019) 1808843. Cited: 323. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201808843>
15. ③ Magnetic 2D materials and heterostructures, M. Gibertini, M. Koperski, A. F. Morpurgo et al., *Nature Nanotechnology*, 14 (2019) 408. Cited: 310. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0438-6>
16. ③ Solar cell efficiency tables (Version 55), M. A. Green, E. D. Dunlop, D. H. Levi et al., *Progress in Photovoltaics*, 28 (2020) 3. Cited: 294. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3228>
17. ③ Defect-Rich Heterogeneous MoS₂/NiS₂ Nanosheets Electrocatalysts for Efficient Overall Water Splitting, J. H. Lin, P. C. Wang, H. H. Wang et al., *Advanced Science*, 6 (2019) 1900246. Cited: 288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201900246>
18. ③ Fundamentals of inorganic solid-state electrolytes for batteries, T. Famprakis, P. Canepa, J. A. Dawson et al., *Nature Materials*, 18 (2019) 1278. Cited: 273. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0431-3>
19. ③ Perovskite lead-free dielectrics for energy storage applications, L. T. Yang, X. Kong, F. Li et al., *Progress in Materials Science*, 102 (2019) 72. Cited: 272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2018.12.005>
20. ③ 17% Efficient Organic Solar Cells Based on Liquid Exfoliated WS₂ as a Replacement for PEDOT:PSS, Y. B. Lin, B. Adilbekova, Y. Firdaus et al., *Advanced Materials*, 31 (2019) 1902965. Cited: 265. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902965>
21. ③ Definitions of Pseudocapacitive Materials: A Brief Review, Y. Q. Jiang and J. P. Liu, *Energy & Environmental Materials*, 2 (2019) 30. Cited: 259. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eem2.12028>
22. ③ Challenges and opportunities towards fast-charging battery materials, Y. Y. Liu, Y. Y. Zhu, and Y. Cui, *Nature Energy*, 4 (2019) 540. Cited: 257. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0405-3>
23. ② Advances and challenges in understanding the electrocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to fuels, Y. Y. Birdja, E. Perez-Gallent, M. C. Figueiredo et al., *Nature Energy*, 4 (2019) 732. Cited: 255. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0450-y>

24. ③ Memristive crossbar arrays for brain-inspired computing, Q. F. Xia and J. J. Yang, *Nature Materials*, 18 (2019) 309. Cited: 253. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0291-x>
25. ③ Adhesive Hemostatic Conducting Injectable Composite Hydrogels with Sustained Drug Release and Photothermal Antibacterial Activity to Promote Full-Thickness Skin Regeneration During Wound Healing, Y. P. Liang, X. Zhao, T. L. Hu et al., *Small*, 15 (2019) 1900046. Cited: 253. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201900046>
26. ③ Alkyl Chain Tuning of Small Molecule Acceptors for Efficient Organic Solar Cells, K. Jiang, Q. Y. Wei, J. Y. L. Lai et al., *Joule*, 3 (2019) 3020. Cited: 253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joule.2019.09.010>
27. ② The entry of nanoparticles into solid tumours, S. Sindhwan, A. M. Syed, J. Ngai et al., *Nature Materials*, 19 (2020) 566. Cited: 253. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0566-2>
28. ② Self-Supported Transition-Metal-Based Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen and Oxygen Evolution, H. M. Sun, Z. H. Yan, F. M. Liu et al., *Advanced Materials*, 32 (2020) 1806326. Cited: 248. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201806326>
29. ③ Cation and anion immobilization through chemical bonding enhancement with fluorides for stable halide perovskite solar cells, N. X. Li, S. X. Tao, Y. H. Chen et al., *Nature Energy*, 4 (2019) 408. Cited: 247. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0382-6>
30. ② Advanced Carbon for Flexible and Wearable Electronics, C. Y. Wang, K. L. Xia, H. M. Wang et al., *Advanced Materials*, 31 (2019) 1801072. Cited: 246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201801072>
31. ③ Chemical and structural origin of lattice oxygen oxidation in Co-Zn oxyhydroxide oxygen evolution electrocatalysts, Z. F. Huang, J. J. Song, Y. H. Du et al., *Nature Energy*, 4 (2019) 329. Cited: 245. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0355-9>
32. ③ 16.67% Rigid and 14.06% Flexible Organic Solar Cells Enabled by Ternary Heterojunction Strategy, T. T. Yan, W. Song, J. M. Huang et al., *Advanced Materials*, 31 (2019) 1902210. Cited: 244. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201902210>
33. ③ Carbon-Based Metal-Free ORR Electrocatalysts for Fuel Cells: Past, Present, and Future, L. J. Yang, J. L. Shui, L. Du et al., *Advanced Materials*, 31 (2019) 1804799. Cited: 243. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201804799>

34. ③ Tuning Oxygen Vacancies in Ultrathin TiO₂ Nanosheets to Boost Photocatalytic Nitrogen Fixation up to 700 nm, Y. X. Zhao, Y. F. Zhao, R. Shi et al., Advanced Materials, 31 (2019) 1806482. Cited: 243. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201806482>
35. ② Recent advances and applications of machine learning in solid-state materials science, J. Schmidt, M. R. G. Marques, S. Botti et al., Npj Computational Materials, 5 (2019) 83. Cited: 236. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-019-0221-0>
36. ② Parity-time symmetry and exceptional points in photonics, S. K. Ozdemir, S. Rotter, F. Nori et al., Nature Materials, 18 (2019) 783. Cited: 235. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0304-9>
37. ② Dual Cocatalysts in TiO₂ Photocatalysis, A. Y. Meng, L. Y. Zhang, B. Cheng et al., Advanced Materials, 31 (2019) 1807660. Cited: 235. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201807660>
38. ② X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: Towards reliable binding energy referencing, G. Greczynski and L. Hultman, Progress in Materials Science, 107 (2020) 100591. Cited: 235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2019.100591>
39. ② Advanced rechargeable zinc-based batteries: Recent progress and future perspectives, H. F. Li, L. T. Ma, C. P. Han et al., Nano Energy, 62 (2019) 550. Cited: 233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.05.059>
40. Recommended Practices and Benchmark Activity for Hydrogen and Oxygen Electrocatalysis in Water Splitting and Fuel Cells, C. Wei, R. R. Rao, J. Y. Peng et al., Advanced Materials, 31 (2019) 1806296. Cited: 233. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201806296>
41. ② Advances and issues in developing salt-concentrated battery electrolytes, Y. Yamada, J. H. Wang, S. Ko et al., Nature Energy, 4 (2019) 269. Cited: 224. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0336-z>
42. Electromagnetic Response and Energy Conversion for Functions and Devices in Low-Dimensional Materials, M. S. Cao, X. X. Wang, M. Zhang et al., Advanced Functional Materials, 29 (2019) 1807398. Cited: 217. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201807398>
43. A review of rechargeable batteries for portable electronic devices, Y. R. Liang, C. Z. Zhao, H. Yuan et al., Infomat, 1 (2019) 6. Cited: 215. <https://doi.org/10.1002/inf2.12000>
44. Ultrathin, flexible, solid polymer composite electrolyte enabled with aligned nanoporous host for lithium batteries, J. Y. Wan, J. Xie, X. Kong et al., Nature Nanotechnology, 14 (2019) 705. Cited: 213. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0465-3>

45. Polymer-inorganic solid-electrolyte interphase for stable lithium metal batteries under lean electrolyte conditions, Y. Gao, Z. F. Yan, J. L. Gray et al., *Nature Materials*, 18 (2019) 384. Cited: 212. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-019-0305-8>
46. ② Progress, Challenges, and Opportunities for 2D Material Based Photodetectors, M. S. Long, P. Wang, H. H. Fang et al., *Advanced Functional Materials*, 29 (2019) 1803807. Cited: 211. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201803807>
47. Monolithic all-perovskite tandem solar cells with 24.8% efficiency exploiting comproportionation to suppress Sn(II) oxidation in precursor ink, R. X. Lin, K. Xiao, Z. Y. Qin et al., *Nature Energy*, 4 (2019) 864. Cited: 211. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0466-3>
48. Cd-Free Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)(2) Thin-Film Solar Cell With Record Efficiency of 23.35%, M. Nakamura, K. Yamaguchi, Y. Kimoto et al., *Ieee Journal of Photovoltaics*, 9 (2019) 1863. Cited: 205. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JPHOTOV.2019.2937218>
49. A Review on Biomedical Titanium Alloys: Recent Progress and Prospect, L. C. Zhang and L. Y. Chen, *Advanced Engineering Materials*, 21 (2019) 1801215. Cited: 203. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201801215>
50. Graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄)-based metal-free photocatalysts for water splitting: A review, A. Mishra, A. Mehta, S. Basu et al., *Carbon*, 149 (2019) 693. Cited: 202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2019.04.104>